I've chosen this meme because it explains the Miranda rights so clearly, and also everyone person should have trial before the court declares that he's guilty or not see that and also the Miranda right says "You have the right to remain silent; Anything you say can and will be used against you in a court of law; You have a right to an attorney; If you cannot afford an attorney one will be appointed for you. So it clearly shows how you have so many rights in the court Miranda Rights were created in 1966 as a result of the United States Supreme Court case of Miranda v. Arizona. The Miranda warning is intended to protect the suspect's Fifth Amendment right to refuse to answer self-incriminating questions.
As a result of the Miranda vs Arizona, at trial, the oral and written confessions were presented to the jury. Miranda was found guilty of kidnapping and rape and was sentenced to 20-30 years imprisonment on each count.

On appeal, the Supreme Court of Arizona held that Miranda’s constitutional rights were not violated in obtaining the confession.

This was an important case because in a 5-4 Supreme Court decision Miranda v. Arizona (1966) ruled that an arrested individual is entitled to rights against self-discrimination and to an attorney under the 5th and 6th Amendments of the United States Constitution.

And also federalism plays an important role for the American government. Federalism figures prominently in the U.S. political.

The rights are also called the Miranda warning and they stem from a 1966 Supreme Court case: Miranda v. Arizona. In the original case, the defendant, Ernesto Miranda, was a 24-year-old high school drop-out with a police record when he was accused in 1963 of kidnapping, raping and robbing an 18-year-old woman.

Miranda Rights: Rights that provide protection from self-incrimination and confer the right to an attorney, of which citizens must be informed before police arrest and
interrogation, established in Miranda v. Arizona. These Miranda rights are certain liberties that all citizens are subject to exhibit. They must be stated prior to being arrested by the police to ensure that all “criminals” are informed of their basic rights. This right goes hand in hand with the fifth amendment, which is the right November 2, 2014

Miranda Rights

The Miranda Rights, also known as the Miranda Warning, were derived from the 5th and 6th amendments in which they guarantee all people who are taken into arrest the right to trial, council, and to be appointed a lawyer. Although not explicitly expressed in the constitution, the Miranda rights provide the necessary precautions for self-incrimination and proper trial by providing those who have been arrested or incarcerated a brief description of the rights the individual shaped and defined the limits and boundaries of the rights and restrictions of the citizens of the United States. Through these cases the Supreme Court has also defined a balance between the rights guaranteed to an individual and the rights that are also ensured to the collective. The rights of an individual must not interfere with rights of the collective, on the other side of the coin
the rights of the collective must not diminish or impede
the rights of an individual. In the past years the Supreme
A fair criminal justice system is the goal for its citizens.
The Miranda warnings purpose was to provide fairness
for both the suspect and the police by establishing rules.
The Miranda warnings provided the right to remain silent
and the right to have an attorney present during custodial interrogation.
In the landmark supreme court case Miranda v. Arizona
(1966), the Court held that if police do not inform people
they arrest about certain constitutional rights, including
their Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination,
then their confessions may not be used as evidence at trial.
The Miranda right is still important because law
enforcement is still required to read you your Miranda
rights. The Supreme Court ruling limits citizens’ ability to
seek damages if they are not read those rights before
questioning The serious problem that motivated the
Court's decision in Miranda persists: police interrogation
is inherently coercive. The Fifth Amendment privilege
against self-incrimination remains inadequately protected
Some of the potential impacts of eliminating the Miranda
right is that, If the exclusionary rule and the Miranda rule
were abolished, innocent persons would have fewer rights. It is impossible to protect the innocent without also protecting the guilty. If we eliminate Miranda, then police officers could ignore a suspect's request not to be.


I would grade myself 14 for this assignment because I've Write my essay clearly and focused on one point, and also my meme itself is very funny and it has a lot of meanings and it explains my point very clearly, and also it explains the Miranda right very clear and it shows what is your Constitutional right as an American citizen and what what happened to you in the court of law and it what is the process they take after that, and it also protects your right until they can find out if your guilty or not.